REVISEN

Organization Bldg./Room
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

If Undeliverable Return In Ten Days

Penalty For Private Use, \$300

Official Business

P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA. 22313-1450

RECEIVED AN EQUAL OPPORTUNITY FEB 1 6 2005



K E POST US. OFFICIAL MAIL
FENALTY FOR
FEN

Commence and the control of the cont

\$ 00.37° FEB 02 2005

02 1A 0004204034

MAILED FROM ZIP CODE 22314

TECH CENTER 1600/2900

ARUT びれ立つできる

Cartine Bally ن رون

32660+64263 Etg 1450; & Allin Hallandalla Lalla Talladan Hallanda Hallanda

RETURN



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/063,557	05/02/2002	Dan L. Eaton	GNE.3230R1C39	9770
7590 02/02/2005		EXAMINER		
AnneMarie Kaiser			BLANCHARD, DAVID J	
Knobbe Marter	ıs Olson & Bear			
Sixteenth Floor			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
620 Newport Center Drive			1642	
Newport Beach, CA 92660			DATE MAILED: 02/02/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	10/063,557	EATON ET AL.				
,	Examiner	Art Unit				
	David J Blanchard	1642				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 22 December 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) 🔯 they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) Ithey are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): See Continuation Sheet.						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bec raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which wer	e newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•	and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-5</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. Other:	LARP	Y R. HELMS, PH.D MARY EXAMINER				
	PA	//an _				

Continuation of 2. NOTE: If, if , if entered, the response filed 22 December 2004 presents new evidence/Exhibits that have not previosuly been considered and raise new issues and require further consideration. Since the rejections were the same in the non-final and final; the applicants have not provided good and sufficient reason why the exhibits were not presented early. See 37 C.F.R. 1.116(e).

Continuation of 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): If, if, if entered, the response filed 22 December 2004 would overcome the rejection of claims 1-5 under 35 U.S.C. 103 as being unpatentable over Lal et al in view of Queen et al.

LARRY R. HELMS, PH.D